11th March 1953]

Land Colonization Scheme in Udayarpalayam taluk.

* 24 *Q.*—SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there is any proposal with the Government to bring the Vangaram and Kulumur Reserve Forest in Udayarpalayam taluk under the Co-operative Land Colonization Scheme;

(*b*) whether the Government have received any representation from the public protesting against any such proposal; and

(*c*) if so, the action taken by the Government?

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA:—" (*a*) A petition has been received, requesting the assignment of certain lands in Kulumur Reserve Forests for the formation of a Co-operative Agricultural Land Colonisation Society and it is under consideration.

" (*b*) & (*c*) No, Sir."

SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN:—" Who is the applicant, Sir? "

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA:—" Rev. Father Ignatius of the R.C. Mission, Coonoor."

SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN:—" What is the request, Sir? "

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA:—" The request is for the formation of a Land Colonization Society for the benefit of the poor people."

SRI V. GOPALA GOUNDER:—" It is said that a proposal to form a Land Colonization Society is pending before the Government. May I know wherefrom this proposal came? "

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA:—" As I said before, the request to form a Land Colonization Society came to the Government from Rev. Father Ignatius of the R.C. Mission through the Registrar of Co-operative Societies."

SRI P. RANGASAMY REDDIAR:—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, I was not present while my question No. 19 was called. So I request that the question might be answered now."

MR. SPEAKER:—" Yes, I will take it up now."

Number of cases dealt with by the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings.

* 19 *Q.*—SRI P. RANGASAMY REDDIAR: Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state with reference to the answer given to my supplementary question to starred question No. 112 answered on 17th July 1952—

(*a*) whether the statement given in answer to that question includes offences of corruption; and

(*b*) if so, how many cases were tried for corruption and how many of them were punished and how?

[11th March 1953

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" (a) Yes.

" (b) Of the 32 cases of Gazetted Officers dealt with by the Tribunal in 1951–52, 31 cases relate to charges of corruption. Government have passed final orders in 20 cases during the said period and the officers concerned have been punished in eleven of them as shown below :—

Removal from service	2
Compulsorily retired	2
Pension reduced	1
Reduction in pay	1
Increments stopped or withheld	5
Total ...	11 "

SRI P. RANGASAMY REDDIAR :—" May I know how many cases of officers who had been punished by Government were taken up to the criminal court? If the answer is in the affirmative, may I know the results thereof and also whether those cases were published in 'Madras Information'? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" In cases which go before the Tribunal for enquiry and Government reaches conclusions, usually there will be no prosecution because if the cases are good enough for prosecution they are bound to be taken up at the very outset. In cases where after enquiry prosecution is found to be too difficult to proceed with, Government pass orders departmentally with the assistance of the Tribunal. All these results have been published from time to time."

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH :—" ప్రధానమంత్రిగారు ప్రభుత్వోద్యోగులు కొంతమందిమీద curruption కేసులకు సంబంధించిన disciplinary action ప్రభుత్వంవారు తీసుకున్నట్లు తెలవిచ్చారు. అందులో కొంతమందిని రిటైరు చేయించామనిన్నీ, కొంతమందికి punishment యిచ్చామనిన్నీ చెప్పారు. అట్లా చర్య తీసుకోబడినవారి పేర్ల జాబితా యిక్కడ శాసనసభ ఎదుట పెట్టుదురా ? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" I have not got the names with me nor is it desirable to give the names."

SRI P. RANGASAMY REDDIAR :—" Are we to understand that there is not sufficient evidence on file to proceed in a criminal court against these officers? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" It is because of the difficulties involved in criminal prosecution and the likelihood of cases failing even though on merits the cases deserve punishment that this system has been instituted and we are making the best use of it from time to time."

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—" Sir, may I know to which departments do these 11 gazetted officers who had been punished belong? "

11th March 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" If the hon. Member wants a classified list of these 11 officers to whom I had referred, I would request him to give me notice."

SRI R. SIDDANNA GOWD :—" Will the Hon. Chief Minister be pleased to state whether these officers who had been punished and whose integrity had been questioned and suspected would be removed from responsible posts and given less important posts? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" Government believe that we should not judge people before trial, and as far as possible in executive posts punishments are imposed in serious cases where there is sufficient evidence; in cases where it is doubtful the benefit of the doubt is given to the officers as to all other persons charged in such proceedings."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Will the Government consider the desirability of publishing these cases in the interests of the public in the official journal of the Government of Madras, viz., ' Madras Information '? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" Sir, the net results of these cases are published in the daily press. The ' Madras Information ' is not so widely read as the daily press."

[*Note.*—**An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.**]

II.—DISCUSSION ON THE GOVERNOR'S ADDRESS—*cont.*

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member Sri Madanagopal may continue his speech."

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH:—" On a point of information, అధ్యక్షా, నేను మీకు ఇచ్చిన adjournment motion మీద మీ రూలింగు యివ్వాళ యిస్తామని చెప్పారు. ఆవిషయము మీకు జ్ఞాపకము చేస్తున్నాను."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I have disallowed a number of adjournment motions standing in the hon. Member's name in my Chamber. The hon. Member wants to have a ruling from me at this moment whether he can publish his adjournment motions in the papers. He is at liberty to do so but at the same time he must also publish the reasons why I have disallowed those motions."

*SRI V. MADANAGOPAL :—" கனம் சபாநாயகர் அவர்களே! 8-50 a.m.
நேற்றையதினம் கடுமையான வறட்சியின் காரணமாக தமிழ்நாடு முழுவதும் இன்று பஞ்சத்தில் தவித்துக் கொண்டிருக்கிறது என்ற விஷயத்தையும், அதனால் பெரிதும் உற்பத்தி பாதிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது என்ற விஷயத்தையும் சிறு விவசாயிகளும், மத்தியதரவிவசாய வகுப்பாரும் இன்றையதினம் சொல்லொணாத கஷ்டத்தை அனுபவித்துக் கொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள் என்பதையும் குறிப்பிட்டேன். ஒவ்வொரு கிராமத்திலும் இருக்கின்ற நிலமில்லாத விவசாய தொழிலாளர் குடும்பங்கள் பிழைப்புக்கு வழியில்லாமல் பெண்டு பிள்ளைகளுடன் ஊரை விட்டு ஊர் சென்றுகொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள். கிராமாந்திரங்களிலுள்ள ஏரி குளங்களில் குடிப்பதற்குக் கூட தண்ணீர்